UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

DEBORAH GENATOSSIO and	05 10851 RGS
MICHAEL GENATOSSIO,	HECEIPT #
Plaintiffs	AMOUNT \$ DEC.CO
V.	CIVIL ACTION NO. SUMMONS ISSUED NA
	LOCAL RULE 4.1
NORTH AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.,	WAIVER FORM
Defendant	MCF ISSUED
	\square BY DPTY, CLK. $\square \Omega^2$
	DATE LYST CO

NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 28 U.S.C. § 1441 (A)

TO THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS:

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

(**3**73(_

The Defendant, North American Airlines, Inc.. (hereinafter "NAA" or the "Defendant"), pursuant to Section 1331 and Section 1441 of Title 28 of the United States Code and Rule 81.1 of the Local Rules for the United States District Court, District of Massachusetts, herewith files this Notice of Removal in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts from the Superior Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in and for Suffolk County and states the following in support of this Notice of Removal:

- The Plaintiffs, Deborah and Michael Genatossio, (hereinafter "Genatossio" or the 1. "Plaintiffs"), filed a civil action against NAA on or about January 27, 2005, in the Superior Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in and for Suffolk County, Civil Action No. SUCV2005-0340G, Deborah Genatossio and Michael Genatossio v. North American Airlines, Inc.
- On or about April 11, 2005, the Plaintiff served NAA with a copy of the 2. Complaint via first class mail. See Letter from Plaintiff's Counsel dated April 7, 2005.

- 3. This Notice of Removal is filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts within the time allowed by law for removal of civil actions. The documents attached hereto as Exhibit "A" constitute all of the process and pleadings served upon NAA by the Plaintiffs to date.
- 4. This action is removable to this Court pursuant to Section 1441(a) and (b) of Title 28 of the United States Code, because this Court has original jurisdiction under Section 1331 of Title 28 of the United States Code.
- 5. In particular, the Plaintiff's claims present federal questions because they are (1) completely preempted, (2) arise under federal common law, and/or (3) raise express or implied causes of action under the Constitution, federal statute, or international treaty.
- 6. The following bodies of law support a finding of complete preemption of the Plaintiff's claims, a finding that the claims arise under federal common law, and/or a finding that the complaint raises an express or implied cause of action under the Constitution, federal statute or international treaty: the Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules Relating to International Transportation by Air, Oct. 12, 1929, 49 Stat. 3000, 3014, T.S. No. 876 (1934), reprinted in note following 49 U.S.C. § 40105 (the "Warsaw Convention" or the "Convention").
- 7. This action is removable to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441(a) because this Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(a)(1) in that the plaintiff and the defendant are citizens of different states. Plaintiffs are residents of Medfield, Massachusetts. NAA is a New York corporation and its principal place of business is located in Jamaica, New York. Thus, diversity of citizenship exists. Moreover, the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 based upon the plaintiffs' claims that they are entitled to monetary damages relating to her hospital and doctor expenses for her alleged injuries in the amount of \$55,000.00 plus unspecified damages for future medical costs, pain and suffering and the loss of consortium. Plaintiffs are also seeking attorney's fees associated with pursuing this litigation. Thus, in the event plaintiffs prevail on these claims against NAA and NAA is adjudged liable for damages incurred by the plaintiffs, plaintiffs claim they are entitled to recover more than the \$75,000 jurisdictional amount.
- 8. This Notice of Removal is being filed within thirty (30) days of service and receipt of the Summons and Complaint in accordance with Section 1446(b) of Title 28 of the United States Code.
- 9. A notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal and a true copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in and for Suffolk County, as required by Section 1446(d) of Title 28 of the United States Code.

10. Pursuant to Local Rule 81.1(a) shall, within thirty (30) days after filing a notice for removal of the action from state court to this court, file certified or attested copies of all records and proceedings in the state court and a certified or attested copy of all docket entries in the state court. See LR 81.1(a).

WHEREFORE, North American Airlines, Inc. prays that the action currently pending in the Superior Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in and for Suffolk County be removed to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.

Respectfully submitted, NORTH AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.,

By Its Attorneys,

Maynard M. Kirpalani, BBO#273940

Carey Bertrand, BBO#650496

Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz,

Edelman & Dicker, LLP

155 Federal Street

Boston, MA 02110 (617) 422-5300

Dated: y/29/05

SARROUF. TARRICONE & FLEMMING

LAWYERS

85 COMMERCIAL WHARF - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02110 TELEPHONE 617 227-5800 - FAX 617 227-5470 Camille F. Sarrouf Anthony Tarricone John B. Flemming Daniel J. Gibson Camille F. Sarrouf, Jr. Joseph P. Musacchio Elise A. Brassil Stanley D. Helinski

April 7, 2005

North American Airlines, Inc.
Building 75, Suite 250
North Hanger Road
JFK Airport
Jamaica, NY 11430

Re: Deborah Genatossio and Michael Genatossio

v.

North American Airlines, Inc.

Suffolk County Docket No: SUCV2005-00340

Dear Sir or Madam:

You are hereby served with a copy of the Complaint, Civil Action Cover Sheet and with process in the above-entitled action in accordance with Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 223A, Section 6.

Daniel (L'Gibson

truly yours

DJG/kmb enc.

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

SUFFOLK, ss.



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT CIVILACTION

			No	05-0340-G	
DEBORAH MICHAEL	GENATOSSIO, GENATOSSIO	and		Plaintiff(s)	

NORTH AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.

٧.

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS

North American Airlines, Inc. To the above-named Defendant: Building 75, Suite 250, North Hanger Rd. JFK Airport, Jamaica, NY 11430 You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon Daniel J. Gibson, Esq.

Sarrouf, Tarricone & Flemming

plaintiff's attorney, whose address is 95 Commercial Wharf, Boston, the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You are also required to file your answer to the complaint in the office of the Clerk of this court at Boston either before service upon plaintiff's attorney or within a reasonable time thereafter.

Unless otherwise provided by Rule 13(a), your answer must state as a counterclaim any claim which you may have against the plaintiff which arises out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the plaintiff's claim or you will thereafter be barred from making such claim in any other action.

Ramam I Davisa				
Witness, Barbara J. Rouse.	Esquire, at Boston, the	7th		day of
April , in the ye	ear of our Lord two thousand	and	five	<u>. </u>

Michael Joseph Donovan Clerk/Magistrate

NOTES.

- 1. This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all defendants should appear in the caption. If a separate summons is used for each defendant, each should be addressed to the particular defendant.
- 3. TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: PLEASE CIRCLE TYPE OF ACTION INVOLVED
 - (1) TORT (2) MOTOR VEHICLE TORT (3) CONTRACT (4) EQUITABLE RELIEF (5) OTHER

FORM CIV.P. 13rd Rev.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK,SS.

SUPERIOR COURT
CIVIL ACTION NO. OS-0340-G

DEBORAH GENATOSSIO,
and MICHAEL GENATOSSIO,
Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

V.

PLAINTIFFS CLAIM TRIAL BY JURY

NORTH AMERICAN AIRLINES,
INC.,
Defendant.

THE PARTIES

- 1. The plaintiff, Deborah Genatossio, is a citizen of the United States and a resident of the Town of Medfield, County of Norfolk, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 2. The plaintiff, Michael Genatossio, is a citizen of the United States and a resident of the Town of Medfield, County of Norfolk, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 3. At all times relevant to this action, the plaintiffs were and remain lawfully married as husband and wife.
- 4. The defendant, North American Airlines, Inc., based upon information and belief, is a United States corporation with a principle place of business located in Jamaica, New York, however, at all times relevant to this action, the defendant, North American Airlines, Inc., maintained a usual and customary place of business within the City of Boston, County of Suffolk, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Personal jurisdiction exists over the defendant pursuant to G.L. c. 223A, § 3.
- 6. Jurisdiction in the United States exists also pursuant to Article 28 of the Warsaw Convention, in that the plaintiff's ticket was issued by North American Airlines, Inc., and purchased by the plaintiffs through an authorized agent within the United States, and the departure and destination of the roundtrip ticket on the North American Airlines, Inc., flight was Boston, Massachusetts.
- 7. The subject flight involved "international transportation" as defined under the Warsaw Convention.

SARROUF, TARRICONE
& FLEMMING, RC.
PS COMMERCIAL WHARF
POSTON, MADE, 02110
(617) 227-3800

NO. 143

Venue in this Court is appropriate in that it is a judicial district in which the defendant 8. . is subject to personal jurisdiction.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

- On January 31, 2003 the plaintiffs departed Logan International Airport, Boston, 9. Massachusetts, onboard North American Airlines Flight 31 destined for Puerto Plata.
- The plaintiffs' roundtrip ticket, purchased through a Massachusetts agent authorized to 10. sell tickets for roundtrip passage on North American Airlines flights, provided that they would return from Puerto Plata to Logan International Airport, Boston, Massachusetts on February 7, 2003 onboard North American Airlines Flight 32.
- On February 7, 2003 North American Airlines Flight 32 departed Puerto Plata en route to Boston, Massachusetts, however, North American Airlines Flight 32 diverted to Turks and Caicos to pick up additional Boston, Massachusetts bound passengers.
- On February 7, 2003, during the flight from Puerto Plata to Turks and Caicos heavy 12. metal trays were used in the cabin in connection with in-flight food service.
- On February 7, 2003, while North American Airlines Flight 32 was on final approach 13. to Turks and Caicos for landing, the flight attendant staff attempted to store a number of heavy metal trays in the overhead compartment above the seat occupied by the plaintiff, Deborah Genatossio.
- On February 7, 2003, during the final approach of North American Airlines Flight 32 14. into the Turks and Caicos airport, the metal trays which had been inadequately secured in the overhead compartment above Deborah Genatossio's seat, fell from the overhead compartment and crashed down onto Deborah Genatossio's left leg and foot.
- 15. On February 7, 2003, the inadequately secured metal trays which fell onto plaintiff Deborah Genatossio's left leg and foot proximately resulted in serious personal injuries to the plaintiff, Deborah Genatossio.
- 16.—. The incident involving the plaintiff, Deborah Genatossio, constituted an accident or unexpected unusual event external to the passenger within the meaning of the Warsaw Convention.
- 17. The incident onboard North American Airlines Flight 32 on February 7, 2003 and the resulting serious physical injuries to the plaintiff, Deborah Genatossio, were proximately caused by the carelessness and negligence of the defendant, North American Airlines, Inc., by and through its servants, agents and employees in any or all of the following respects:
 - in failing to provide for the safe passage of its passengers from Puerto Plata (a) to Boston, Massachusetts;

SARROUF, TARRICONE & FLEMMING. P.C. 95 COMMERCIAL WHARF SOSTON, MARK, 02110 (417) ネスナ・コロロロ

- (b) in failing to adequately train its flight attendants as to the appropriate procedures and protocols for securing heavy objects in overhead compartments above passenger seating;
- (c) in failing to adequately monitor and review the efforts and actions of its flight attendant staff while attempting to secure heavy objects in overhead compartments above passenger seating;
- (d) in failing to adequately and safely secure heavy objects in overhead compartments above passenger seating;
- 18. As a direct and proximate result of the physical injuries suffered by the plaintiff, Deborah Genatossio, her husband, Michael Genatossio, has suffered a loss of his wife's care, comfort, companionship, society and consortium.

COUNT I

Claim of the plaintiff, Deborah Genatossio, against the defendant, North American Airlines, Inc., for PERSONAL INJURY PREDICATED UPON NEGLIGENCE.

- 19. The plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates herein ¶¶ 1 through 18 above as if fully stated herein.
- 20. On February 7, 2003, during North American Airlines, Inc., Flight 32, the defendant, North American Airlines, Inc., through its agents, servants, and employees, negligently and carelessly secured heavy objects in the overhead compartment above the seat assigned to the plaintiff, Deborah Genatossio, thereby allowing heavy metal trays to fall onto Deborah Genatossio's left leg and foot, which proximately resulted in serious personal injuries to the plaintiff, Deborah Genatossio.
- 21. As a direct result of the foregoing, the plaintiff suffered severe personal injuries, great pain of body and anguish of mind, and incurred medical and other expenses.
- 22. Predicated upon the foregoing, the plaintiff, Deborah Genatossio, is entitled to recover damages from the defendant for her personal injuries, pain of body and anguish of mind suffered as a result of the February 7, 2003 incident together with interest and costs.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Deborah Genatossio, demands judgment against the defendant, North American Airlines, Inc., in an amount sufficient to compensate her for her damages, pain of body and anguish of mind and related expenses plus interest and costs.

NO. 143 P. 7

COUNT II

Claim of the plaintiff, Michael Genatossio, against the defendant, North American Airlines, Inc., for LOSS OF CONSORTIUM PREDICATED UPON NEGLIGENCE.

- The plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates fully herein ¶¶ 1 through 20 of Count 23. I as if fully stated herein.
- As a direct result of the foregoing, the plaintiff, Michael Genatossio, was deprived of 24. his wife's full society, care, comfort, companionship and consortium.
- 25. As a result of the foregoing, the plaintiff, Michael Genatossio, is entitled to recover damages from the defendant, in an amount sufficient to fully and fairly compensate him for his losses, together with interest and costs.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Michael Genatossio, demands judgment against the defendant, North American Airlines, Inc., in an amount sufficient to fully and fairly compensate him for his losses, together with interest and costs.

JURY CLAIM

The plaintiffs' claim trial by jury on all issues.

Respectfully submitted,

The plaintiffs.

Deborah Genatossio and

Michael Genatossio.

By Taqir Counsel/

DANIEL & GIBSON, ESQ.

BBO#: 550661

Sarrouf, Tarricone & Flemming

95 Commercial Wharf Boston, MA 02110

Tel.: (617) 227-5800

SARROUF, TARRICONE & FLEMMING. P.C. 3 COMMERCIAL WHARF BOSTON, MASS, 02110 (617) 227-5400

NO. 143 P. 8

APR. 11. 2005 11:07AM	NORTH AMERICAN AIR			NO. 143 P. 8
CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET	SURERIOR COL	of Massachusetts IRT DEPARTMENT		Docket Number 05 - 0340 - G
PLAINTIFF(S) Deborah Gen Michael Gen	atossio and		North Ame	erican Airlines, In
ATTORNEY, FIRM NAME, ADDRE Daniel J. Gibson, Esq. Sarrouf, Tarricone & F. Board of Bar Dverseers number	SSAND TELEPHONE (BEW#227\$5800) Lemming 550661	ATTORNEY (if know	vn)	
Place an x in one box only			173.2	
[X] 1. F01 Onginal Complaint [] 2. F02 Removal to Sup.Ct.c. 2 (Before trial) [] J3. F03 Retransfer to Sup.Ct. (231, s.104 (F) [] 4. [] 5. [] 6.	F04 District Court App F05 Reactivated after Order (Mass R.Giv.F E10 Summary Proces	s Appeal	(X) (X)
CODE NO. TYPE OF ACTI	FACTION AND TRACK DO	EŞIĞNATÎON (Sée re	verse side) LURY.CASI	
The following is a full, itemized money damages. For this for	l and detailed statemen h, disregard double or to	t of the facts on wi	hich plaintil ns, indicate	f relies to determine
A Documented medical expense 1. Total hospital expense 2. Total Doctor expense 3. Total chiropractic expense 4. Total physical therap 5. Total other expenses B. Documented lost wages a C. Documented property dail D. Reasonably anticipated for Reasonably anticipated for Other documented items of Deborah Genatossic was Inc., Flight 32 on Feb secured inaan overhead and foot resulting in plaintiff to suffer ac Distrophy.	ses penses y expenses (describe) and compensation to da mages to date uture medical and hospi est wages of damages (describe) ff's injury, including nati	te tal expenses	njury (desci	Subtotal \$5.267. Suncertain Suncertain
Provide a detailed description of	: tauaciradomonaisne	ets as necessary)	had a said a said a said	OTA:
PLEASE IDENTIFY, BY CASE NUMB	ER, NAME AND COUNTY, A	ANY RELATED ACTIO	ON PENDING	IN THE SUPERIOR
I hereby certify that I have com Inform Rules on Dispute Reso about court-connected dispute r lisadvantages of the various me Signature of Attorney of Resource	esolution services and cethods	ومراح والمستوام المراجع	Supreme vide my clie the advant	ages and
Signature of Attorney of Record	I mount for		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	DATE: 1/27/03
A.O.S.C. 2003				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CATE	GORY IN WHICH	THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LIST
ON T	HE CIVIL COVER	SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1))
	ī.	160, 410, 470, 535, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT
	II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 625, 710, 720,730, 740, 790, 791, 820, 830, 840, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.
X	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.
_	IV.	220, 421, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.
	v.	150, 152, 153.
TITLI	E AND NUMBER,	IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(E))
	A PRIOR ACTION IN THIS COURT	BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN NO
		IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS
		IC INTEREST? NO
		R AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? (SEE 28 USC 2403)
1r 30	, 15 THE U.S.A. UP	A AT OFFICER, AGENT OR ENEROTEE OF THE CONTRACT. (SEE NO COC 2400)
**	76 C+CD DEALURE	TO BE THE ARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE HINGES
	_	ED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES
PURS	UANT TO TITLE	28 USC 2284?NO
PURS DO 4	LUANT TO TITLE ALL PARTIES IN	28 USC 2284? NO THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF
PURS DO A	UANT TO TITLE ALL PARTIES IN SACHUSETTS (WO	28 USC 2284? NO THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PRESTER COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN
PURS DO A MASS SECT	LUANT TO TITLE ALL PARTIES IN SACHUSETTS (WO TION (BERKSHIRE.	28 USC 2284? NO THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES	UANT TO TITLE ALL PARTIES IN SACHUSETTS (WO TION (BERKSHIRE,	28 USC 2284? NO THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PRESTER COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D))
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES DO A	LUANT TO TITLE ALL PARTIES IN SACHUSETTS (WO TION (BERKSHIRE.	28 USC 2284?NO THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PROJECT COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES DO A SECT	LL OF THE PARTIES IN BACHUSETTS (WO ION (BERKSHIRE,	28 USC 2284?NO THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PRESTER COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN STRICT? YES NO
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES DO A SECT (a)	LL OF THE PARTIES IN THE PARTICULAR OF THE PARTICULAR OF THE DISTRIBUTIONS OF THE DISTRIBUTIO	THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PRESENT COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN STRICT? YES NO HICH SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE?
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES DO A SECT (a) IN W	LL OF THE PARTIES IN BACHUSETTS (WO TON (BERKSHIRE, LL OF THE PARTITIONS OF THE DISTIPLE IN WHETHER IN WEIGHT IN WEIGH	THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PRESENT COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN STRICT? YES NO IICH SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE? OTHE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? NOrfolk
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES DO A SECT (a) IN W.	LL OF THE PARTIES IN SACHUSETTS (WO TION (BERKSHIRE.) LL OF THE PARTITIONS OF THE DISTRIBUTIONS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE PARTITIONS OF	THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PRESENT COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN STRICT? YES NO IICH SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE? O THE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? Norfolk ES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES DO A SECT (a) IN W. IF AN GOVI	LL OF THE PARTIES IN BACHUSETTS (WO TON (BERKSHIRE, LL) OF THE PARTIES IN WHICH SECTION DO BY OF THE PARTIES IN	THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PRESTER COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN STRICT? YES NO HICH SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE? O THE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? NOTfolk ES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY CNCY OF THE U.S.A. OR THE COMMONWEALTH, DO ALL OTHER PARTIES RESIDE
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES DO A SECT (a) IN W. IF AN	LL OF THE PARTIES IN BACHUSETTS (WO TON (BERKSHIRE, LL) OF THE PARTIES IN WHICH SECTION DO BY OF THE PARTIES IN	THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PRESENT COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN STRICT? YES NO IICH SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE? O THE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? Norfolk ES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES DO A SECT (a) IN W. IF AN GOVI	LL OF THE PARTIES IN BACHUSETTS (WO TON (BERKSHIRE, LL) OF THE PARTIES IN WHICH SECTION DO BY OF THE PARTIES IN	THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PRESTER COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN STRICT? YES NO HICH SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE? O THE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? NOTfolk ES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY CNCY OF THE U.S.A. OR THE COMMONWEALTH, DO ALL OTHER PARTIES RESIDE
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES DO A SECT (a) IN W. IF AN GOVI	LL OF THE PARTIES IN BACHUSETTS (WO TON (BERKSHIRE, LL) OF THE PARTIES IN WHICH SECTION DO BY OF THE PARTIES IN	THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PRESTER COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN STRICT? YES NO HICH SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE? O THE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? NOTfolk ES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY CNCY OF THE U.S.A. OR THE COMMONWEALTH, DO ALL OTHER PARTIES RESIDE
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES SECT (a) IN W IF AN GOVI	LUANT TO TITLE ALL PARTIES IN SACHUSETTS (WO TON (BERKSHIRE, LL) OF THE PARTITIONS OF THE DIS IF YES, IN WHICH SECTION DO TON THE PARTITION OF	THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF PRESTER COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN STRICT? YES NO HICH SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE? O THE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? NOTfolk ES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY CNCY OF THE U.S.A. OR THE COMMONWEALTH, DO ALL OTHER PARTIES RESIDE
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES DO A SECT (a) IN W IF AN GOVI IN TH	LUANT TO TITLE ALL PARTIES IN SACHUSETTS (WO TION (BERKSHIRE, LL) OF THE PARTIE TIONS OF THE DIS IF YES, IN WHI HICH SECTION DO IN OF THE PARTIE ERNMENTAL AGE HE CENTRAL SECTION NAME	THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF ORCESTER COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN STRICT? YES NO SICH SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE?OTHE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE?NOFIDE SES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY ENCY OF THE U.S.A. OR THE COMMONWEALTH, DO ALL OTHER PARTIES RESIDE TIONOR WESTERN SECTIONOR WESTERN SECTIONOR WESTERN SECTION
PURS DO A MASS SECT YES DO A SECT (a) IN W IF AN GOVI IN TH	LUANT TO TITLE ALL PARTIES IN SACHUSETTS (WO TION (BERKSHIRE, LL) OF THE PARTIE TIONS OF THE DIS IF YES, IN WHI HICH SECTION DO IN OF THE PARTIE ERNMENTAL AGE HE CENTRAL SECTION NAME	THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF DRCESTER COUNTY)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN FRANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)) ES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN STRICT? YES NO LICH SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE? O THE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? Norfolk ES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY ENCY OF THE U.S.A. OR THE COMMONWEALTH, DO ALL OTHER PARTIES RESIDE TION OR WESTERN SECTION

(COVER.SHT-08/90)

AMOUNT ___

_____ APPLYING IEP

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	purpose of finding the	CIVII GOCKEL SHEE	t. (SEE IN	DEFENDANTS	EVERSE OF THE FORM.)
Deborah GEnatossio and Michael GEnatossio					ntic Airlines, Inc.	e ♥ oggete **
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Norfolk (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFE CASES ONLY) NOTE: RETURN DEMNAT IN CASES US THE LIGHTON OF THE RETURN OF THE RESULT ON INVOICED.			
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME Daniel J. Gibs Sarrouf, Tarris 85 Commercial V Boston, MA	on, Esq. cone, & Flaming	NUMBER)			lani / Carey Bertra Yoskowitz, Edelman eet, Boston, MA	
II. BASIS OF JÜRISD 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	PICTION (PLACE AN DE COMPANY) 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)			Sirver States Stray	TF DEF	PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) PTF DEF I or Principal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government · Defendant	1 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In This State izen of Another State			
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (PLACE AN "X" IN ON	E BOX ONLY	L	Foreign Country		
CONTRACT		ORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Vetterans)	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL IN 362 Personal Inju Med. Maipr A Product Liat 368 Asbestos Pe Injury Produc PERSONAL PRO 370 Other Fraud	JURY ury — actice ury — bility ersonal ct Liability	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 849 Trademark	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodifies/
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 191 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	371 Truth in Lence 380 Other Person Property Dai	ding nat mage mage	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY	Exchange 675 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights	Product Liab PRISONER PET 510 Motions to V Sentence HABEAS CORPL 530 General 540 Mandamus 8 540 Civil Rights 555 Prison Conc	fitions facate Js:	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
Proceeding State	Court Appe	inded from Ilate Court	4 Reinstate Reopene	ed (specify)	ed from district	Appeal to District Judge from ct 7 Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N (CITE THE U.S. CML STATE DO NOT CITE JURISDICT C 1331, 1441 - Per	HONAL STATUTES UND	TESS DIVERS		NT OF CAUSE.	
COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23				DEMAND \$	CHECK YES O	nly if demanded in complaint: ND:
VIII.RELATED CASE(S IF ANY	5) (See instructions):	DGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
1/29/0	\$	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF	RECOAD		
OR OFFICE USE ONLY	1,4		d			

_ JUDGE __

... MAG, JUDGE